UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/813,529	03/30/2004	Tadahiko Kubota	09792909-5847	6143
	7590 04/07/201 EIN NATH & ROSEN	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 061080			ECHELMEYER, ALIX ELIZABETH	
WACKER DRIVE STATION, WILLIS TOWER CHICAGO, IL 60606-1080		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		1795		
		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			04/07/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/813,529	KUBOTA ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	Alix Elizabeth Echelmeyer	1795						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 25 March 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP	THE REPLY FILED <u>25 March 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apper for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Coperiods:	the same day as filing a Notice of a replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	which places the (3) a Request					
 a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A 	·	in the final rejection, whi	chever is later. In					
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	•						
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount of thortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee be action; or (2) as					
	liance with 27 CED 44 27 must be	filed within two months	o of the data of					
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	appeal. Since a					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below).	nsideration and/or search (see NOTw);	TE below);						
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or			ne issues for					
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a converse NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (l	PTOL-324).					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):								
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	·	•	-					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		l be entered and an e	kplanation of					
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:								
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).								
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).					
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•						
11. ☐ The request for reconsideration has been consident because:								
the arguments are not convincing. Applicant argues that affect on the storage characteristics, while a reduction in battery having different chemistry. It appears that Application in the storage of the	moisture content "significantly imp	roved" battery charac	teristics in a					
results, but the examiner is unconvinced. Applicant's exact characteristics in the battery having only LiPF6 does not	ample to show that moisture contentions show that moisture content cannot	t does not affect stora	age					
claims and the battery that is obvious over the cited prio								
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (P10/SB/08) Paper No(s)							

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

/PATRICK RYAN/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1795

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20100401

Application No.